

Political Ballet

For over 2-1/2 years, Thomas Sullivan and those who see child rape/child abuse/neglect and child murder as an urgent crisis on Spirit Lake Reservation, politicians and their appointees to critically important departments and directorships have, among other things, done all that is in their power to silence the reports and the reporters, and ultimately sweep all of this under the rug so that no one will look.

For all this time, children have been reported as being in dangerous situations, some directly in the hands of one or more registered sex offenders, others removed from the safety of good foster homes and placed directly in danger for reasons unfathomable to the rest of us.

Sometimes we are told that it is a 'cultural' thing, that children are being placed where they can be raised in their own culture. But that is not the case. There is one case that I know of where a child only a few hours old, was stolen from its mother and 'gifted' to very good friends of then Social Worker, Kristie Wishinski, and these people would not meet the criteria for adoption or Foster care under any circumstances and they are not Native American.

Kristi Wishinski alluded fondly to that "placement" in her interview with Chuck Haga in one of his many 'feel good' pieces that was laughably out of step with the ongoing crisis on the rez, interviewing people who neither of them lived on the rez, one of them, Wishinsky, had had her own children taken away from her for several counts of felony child abuse, and the other, the married father of that child who worked at the casino but who did not live on the rez, declaring how great it was to live on the rez and how safe it was for children to be raised on the rez.

Mr. Haga and I had a serious(ly) funny email exchange over that one where he told me I had to watch carefully what I said about him, alluding to some legal recourse or some such that would surely follow if I said not nice things about his pieces of turd polishing.

I digress. Children being taken away from the safety of good homes and placed directly into the hands of their abusers has been a trend in Spirit Lake Tribal Social Services for years since it became exposed that Tribal Social Services was rife with corruption and abuse.

My theory as to why these children were being ripped away from safe homes, often with no more than an hour of notice, was simple: The financial records on those children would prove that the safe homes were not receiving any of the government monies, nor support that was designated to go to them and the child for that child's upbringing.

One of the many crimes committed by then Director was Embezzlement and Fraud. He would put desperately abused and neglected children in homes off

the rez, and then not pay any of the monies to those homes, but instead, take the money for himself and for his family members, mainly his mother who received checks for several foster children despite not having care nor custody of any of them.

The quickest way to clean up that mess, since the records were being called into question, was to remove all of those children and place them in homes on the rez where people, most of whom did not want their children back and were incapable of caring for them as well as completely unprepared with even the most basic supplies, or homes where predators were more than happy to have a defenseless child or two in their complete control.

Then, all the records of the former homes could be deleted, erased, burned, and any record of medical issues regarding those children could also be destroyed, leaving a clean slate for the BIA which was being forced to investigate and take over, to 'start anew'.

Just erase, delete, burn, shred (and Kevin Dauphinais Brownshield admitted to being ordered by Roger Yankton to shred years worth of documents) and the BIA was happy to allow this because it was less real work they had to do. They could then say "We've fixed the problems, and the paperwork is now conforming to ..."

Essentially, 'make it all look good on paper', but not do any real investigation or remedy any cases of abuse.

Timothy Purdon, USAG, was also pleased with this arrangement as it relieved him, on paper, of having to investigate any of the criminal behaviors of either embezzlement or child abuse on that rez.

Despite there being 13 Mandated Reports of child abuse, rape and endangerment, Purdon has not investigated a single one. Not one child has been removed from a registered sex offender, and only one charge of sexual abuse has been laid against anyone on the rez, and that was a case he was forced to actually criminally charge, and it was from 2007. He did not get around to it until 2013. That gave the vicious child rapist several years to continue the abuses. It was the Foster Mother of one of those children who forced that investigation and subsequent conviction when, from her own funds, she hired an attorney to go to court to prevent the children from being forcibly returned to their father, and statements were allowed in court that made it 'official'.

That Foster Mother has since been retaliated against by the current Tribal Social Services Director, Paul Hutchinson, who, btw, took the father's side in court, not the children's. Mr. Hutchinson retaliated by abruptly removing the children from her care and having her designated as 'unfit' to be a foster parent.

His reasoning was that while she was recovering from Heart Surgery and the children were in Respite Care, she allowed them to attend a Thanksgiving Dinner where there was a sex offender present at the home. Go figure.

This is the same man who refuses to remove children from homes where there are Registered Sex Offenders, who are not even relatives, but who have total control and custody over tiny children, some of them babies, others up into their early teens.

This is just one example of how the system is upside down in its mission. It prefers to put children in danger, protect those who have stolen from them, raped and abused them, while punishing and retaliating against the homes where they were nursed back to health, and kept safe.

The people who make the reports of these dangers, abuses, rapes and such, are then ridiculed, harassed, threatened, retaliated against by the very people in the agencies that are supposed to be protecting the children.

Only one man in one Federal Agency, Thomas Sullivan, Regional Director Area VIII of the ACF, has taken the hundreds of reports seriously, and done his job in compiling Mandated Reports for urgent action. Thirteen reports thus far. (You can read them all in their entirety on the Documents page of this website).

He has, for his efforts, been ridiculed, ignored, his job threatened and his supervisors not allowing him to speak on this issue, publicly, with any media whatsoever, and he has been forbidden to speak to any member of Congress or the Senate or their Staff.

The FBI even came to his office unannounced and said they wanted to investigate HIM! For what? They never said.

Not being allowed to speak with or have any communications with anyone in Congress, the Senate or their staff, the very people who it would take to create a congressional investigation into the wide-spread corruption and abuses of children as well as the embezzlement of millions of Tax Dollars that went everywhere but where it was supposed to go, is a curious way for a supervisor to react to urgent reports of rampant child abuse and financial wrong-doing, don't you think?

Despite all of that, Mr. Sullivan has persevered to do all that is left in his diminishing powers to get people in these agencies and in government to take these 13 Mandated Reports seriously.

Recently, he attempted to get one agency head at the State Level, Scott Davis, Commissioner of Indian Affairs, ND, to take these reports seriously and to convene a meeting where he could bring in his sources to explain, in detail at

that meeting, what they have witnessed, and bring in their own documentation of abuses and corruption.

Mr. Davis, as you can see by the thread of emails I have included here, wanted not to have a small meeting where he, Sullivan and the sources could detail to him the events and documentation, but rather, wanted a larger meeting where all the Senators, all the congressmen, their staff, and 'all the stakeholders' as he calls them, could be present so they could all work on this at once.

Which sounds like Political Ballet to me. He didn't want to hear a word of any of it unless everyone was there. The odds on getting everyone there, especially Senator Heidi Heitkamp who has barely even gone through the motions of caring, much less doing anything about these reports, were extremely steep.

Further, instead of having a small meeting where things could be discussed in detail, having a full panel, none of whom are up to date on anything, or even familiar with the issues, would quickly devolve into a circus of repeated questions and answers, not enough time for each and everyone to ask questions about the 13 mandated reports, and would leave many important questions unasked.

Mr. Davis does not see it as his job to meet with the ACF Director and his sources and compile a report for further investigation. He sees his job as creating a 3-ring circus atmosphere that essentially would skim over topics and avoid details. That would make it easier to dismiss things entirely as it would devolve into a shambles of waiting for each of the 10 or more additional people to make their own reports, when they found the time, and then to do what with all of that?

It would take the focus away from the simple fact that the situation for hundreds of children on SLN is critical, urgent, in crisis.

Further, Mr. Davis went into what I call "Diva Mode" when he insisted that Mr. Sullivan personally and formally invite these same congressional and Senatorial people and assure Mr. Davis that they would all attend.

Sullivan articulated that he was, by his superiors in Washington, DC, forbidden to have any communication with them and so the invitation and meeting arrangements for the people that Mr. Davis was insisting had to be there or there would be no meeting, would have to therefor, come from Mr. Davis and his office.

Mr. Davis, in what to me appears to be a shrill rebuttal, said that it had to come from Sullivan because Mr. Davis, despite being the one to want these people to attend and Mr. Sullivan not being allowed to communicate with them, was "not going to be your middleman".

So, because Davis can't get a man who would be instantly terminated from his position for initiating (or even responding to any communication from any of the

congressional persons or their staff) to issue 'formal invitations' to these same people and then make sure they are attending, he refused to have even a small meeting of Mr. Sullivan and his sources.

In short, Mr. Davis had found a way to make sure he doesn't have to know anything about what is going on with the Children in Spirit Lake Reservation, and he chooses to blame Mr. Sullivan for it.

Instead of doing his job, and instead of allowing a small meeting on this issue to move forward where he could be personally and professionally informed, he chose to find ways to get out of it by making it complicated, impossible and then refusing because the only way to get issues solved is to have "all the stakeholders at the meeting."

One of those sources, Betty Jo Krenz later received a call from a man named Sitting Bear who was directed by Mr. Davis to phone her. He mentioned that they had had a meeting about this... Wait... what? They had had a meeting? "Yes, a small meeting today..." so, Mr. Davis is able to have 'small meetings' and on very short notice and without all the 'stakeholders' present to discuss the very people that were not at that meeting? It can be done??? Who knew?

So, as you read these emails, know that these people in all these departments, including the Head of ACF in Washington, DC, see their mission as the silencing of reports of abuse and corruption. They do not see their mission as protecting children, nor do they particularly want to hear about or be made aware of child rapes, violations of laws regarding children, and they certainly don't want anyone to report financial fraud, embezzlement in the millions of tax dollars.

I am not sure what good these agencies can do for us in their current state. I recommend that since they work so hard to protect the abusers and to actually place or make sure children remain in danger, and refuse to lift a finger to rescue even one child, that we abolish these departments and save the taxpayers hundreds of millions of dollars over the next few years. Money that could better be spent elsewhere, say on bridges or fixing roads.

The children will be no worse off for the loss of these agencies that really don't give a crap about what happens to them or how they are being abused under their authority. Clearly, the abusers and the corrupt have changed the mission of these agencies to their will and it is time for them to go.

I have included email addresses and phone numbers of the government players in this report because they are paid from our tax dollars and they work for us. I will not help them to hide in their offices and have no one know who they are or no way to actually let them know how you feel about the job they are doing.

Email Ballet

August 14, 2013: *The Dance Begins*

On Aug 14, 2013, at 4:19 PM, "Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)"
<thomas.sullivan@acf.hhs.gov> wrote:

Scott:

Thank you for returning my call yesterday. I appreciated the opportunity to chat with you about our mutual concerns.

I have spoken with two of my sources and we are in agreement that the morning of Thursday, August 29, will work best for us. Trust it still works for you as well.

We will develop an agenda for our meeting for your review and approval within the next week.

If you have any problem with any of this, please let me know.

All the best.

Tom

August 15, 2013

From: Davis, Scott J. [<mailto:sjdavis@nd.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2013 8:35 PM
To: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)
Subject: Re: Thank you

Thank you Tom,

I look forward to our visit and your agenda.

Please confirm a time as soon as you can.

Sincerely,

Scott J. Davis
Commissioner
ND Indian Affairs

August 19, 2013

From: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF) [<mailto:thomas.sullivan@acf.hhs.gov>]
Sent: Monday, August 19, 2013 10:48 AM
To: Davis, Scott J.
Subject: RE: Thank you

Is 9AM OK for you?

August 20, 2013

From: Davis, Scott J. [<mailto:sjdavis@nd.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2013 04:38 PM
To: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)
Subject: RE: Thank you

Tom,

Please send me an agenda of discussion points you wish to address.

Also, I hope you have invited the ND Congressional office-staff and the BIA as well.

I think it is very important that they be included on the meeting, as we all have worked together regarding the Spirit Lake issues.

Thank you

Scott Davis

From: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF) [<mailto:thomas.sullivan@acf.hhs.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2013 3:45 PM
To: Davis, Scott J.
Subject: Re: Thank you

Scott:

I have invited neither group. Thought it might be best to keep our initial meeting relatively small so that we could freely discuss some issues that

seem to be a bit sensitive with a view to expanding the group down the road.

Trust that is OK with you.

Will be getting you a suggested agenda this evening or early tomorrow morning.

All the best.

Tom

From: Davis, Scott J. [mailto:sjdavis@nd.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2013 04:49 PM
To: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)
Subject: RE: Thank you

Tom,
I would feel more comfortable with them at the table with us.
I have worked closely with them for some time now and would hate to have them feel left out.

It is a trust relationship I have with them Tom and I want to keep that intact.

I would appreciate it.

Scott

From: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF) [mailto:thomas.sullivan@acf.hhs.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2013 4:33 PM
To: Davis, Scott J.
Subject: Re: Thank you

I was unaware that you have been working with them.

Since you have, would you mind inviting them?

From: Davis, Scott J. [mailto:sjdavis@nd.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2013 05:35 PM
To: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)
Subject: RE: Thank you

I can give you their email addresses.
I think it would be more beneficial if it came from you.

Scott

From: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF) [<mailto:thomas.sullivan@acf.hhs.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2013 4:39 PM
To: Davis, Scott J.
Subject: Re: Thank you

My DC leadership have made it quite clear folks like me are to have nothing to do with members of Congress or their staff.

I would be pleased to handle the invitations to others in the Executive Branch.

From: "Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)" <thomas.sullivan@acf.hhs.gov>
Date: August 20, 2013, 5:02:28 PM CDT
To: "sjdavis@nd.gov" <sjdavis@nd.gov>
Subject: Re: Thank you

Scott:

My agency leadership do not want me to have any contact with any congressional staff without their blessing. That would, based on my prior experience with such approvals, not be forthcoming. I think it's silly but those are the rules I have to observe.

I will send an invitation to Loudermilk.

Tom

From: Davis, Scott J. [<mailto:sjdavis@nd.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2013 05:54 PM
To: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)
Cc: 'Keplin, David' <mike.keplin@bia.gov>
Subject: RE: Thank you

Understandable, on my end it is important that they are there.
Here is their email addresses:

Don Larson: Don_Larson@hoeven.senate.gov
Ross Keys: Ross_Keys@heitkamp.senate.gov
Matt Becker: Matt.Becker@mail.house.gov
Mark Gruman: Mark_Gruman@gmail.house.gov

Bruce Loudermilk BIA: bruce.loudermilk@bia.gov

Thanks Tom

(NOTE: Davis says he understands, but says it is important they are there so important in fact that he will not invite them and insists that Sullivan, despite being ordered not to, invite them personally and formally. Does he really “get” anything? Is this the comprehension level we want dealing with issues as critical as crimes against children?

August 21, 2013

From: Davis, Scott J. [<mailto:sjdavis@nd.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2013 04:38 PM
To: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)
Subject: meeting

Good Afternoon Tom,

I sent a note to the congressional delegation and to BIA regarding your request for a meeting.

I will attend a meeting if all the stakeholders attend. If you have been directed not to contact those offices, I cannot act as your middleman and host a meeting.

If the meeting is to happen, you will have to initiate invitations and host it.

Thank you.

Scott J. Davis
Commissioner
ND Indian Affairs

(Note: It's "Important" but he won't attend unless ALL of the 'stakeholders' attend, especially those that Sullivan is not allowed to contact? How "important" are the Children of Spirit Lake Nation? Not as important as a Diva designing means to prevent meetings, apparently. Not 'important' enough, apparently, for Mr. Davis to actually do this small chore himself.

On Aug 21, 2013, at 4:23 PM, "Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)"
<thomas.sullivan@acf.hhs.gov> wrote:

Scott:

Thank you for your email.
I am planning to be in your Bismarck office with several of my sources at 9AM a week from tomorrow.

Trust this is acceptable.

Tom

From: Davis, Scott J. [<mailto:sjdavis@nd.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2013 06:19 PM
To: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)
Subject: Re: meeting

Tom,

No that is not acceptable.

As I said I am happy to meet with all of the stakeholders at the table.

It is important to me to have everyone (federal agencies) who has a role in the solutions to these problems at such a meeting.

Please let me know when you can confirm you have everyone lined up to attend.

Thank you.

Scott J. Davis
Commissioner
ND Indian Affairs

So, Mr. Sullivan goes to his Superiors, and the Dance continues. We pick up this thread on August 20th. As you can see, Administrative Assistants are now trying to work out details.

.....

August 20, 2013

From: Delgado, Carol (ACF) **Sent:** Tuesday, August 20, 2013 3:57 PM **To:** Murray, James (ACF) **Cc:** Ross, Sharon (ACF); Sullivan, Thomas (ACF); Rogers, Thomas (ACF) **Subject:** FW: Itinerary for THOMAS FRANCIS SULLIVAN on 8/27/13 to Bismarck (IGTOZC)

Hi James:

Thomas Sullivan has this travel authorization to Bismarck, ND that must be approved today. I've attached the invitation to the meeting.

This non-refundable ticket was approved by Shkeda Johnson. . There is a \$200 penalty for this non-refundable ticket.

From: Murray, James (ACF) **Sent:** Tuesday, August 20, 2013 4:18 PM **To:** Delgado, Carol (ACF) **Cc:** Ross, Sharon (ACF); Sullivan, Thomas (ACF); Rogers, Thomas (ACF) **Subject:** RE: Itinerary for THOMAS

FRANCIS SULLIVAN on 8/27/13 to Bismarck (IGTOZC)

Carol,

I'll review the voucher and get right back to you if I have any questions.

Sincerely,

James Murray || Acting Director || HHS/ACF/ORO || Desk: (202) 401-4881
|| BlackBerry: (202) 253-0217 || Fax: (202) 401-3449 || Email:
james.murray@acf.hhs.gov

From: Murray, James (ACF) **Sent:** Tuesday, August 20, 2013 4:19 PM
To: Delgado, Carol (ACF) **Cc:** Ross, Sharon (ACF); Sullivan, Thomas (ACF); Rogers, Thomas (ACF) **Subject:** RE: Itinerary for THOMAS FRANCIS SULLIVAN on 8/27/13 to Bismarck (IGTOZC)

Carol,

I meant the *authorization*.

Sincerely,

James Murray || Acting Director || HHS/ACF/ORO || Desk: (202) 401-4881
|| BlackBerry: (202) 253-0217 || Fax: (202) 401-3449 || Email:
james.murray@acf.hhs.gov

(Note: Apparently Mr. Murray has no clue as to what the main project is that Mr. Sullivan has been working on for over 2 yrs. Given that he specifically forbade Sullivan from discussing this project or having any communications with anyone in Congress or their staff, I guess he thought the problems at Spirit Lake, child rape, murder, corruption in Tribal Social Services, went away—magically? Read the following and you tell me:)

From: Murray, James (ACF) **Sent:** Tuesday, August 20, 2013 3:01 PM **To:** Delgado, Carol (ACF) **Cc:** Ross, Sharon (ACF); Sullivan, Thomas (ACF); Rogers, Thomas (ACF) **Subject:** RE: Itinerary for THOMAS FRANCIS SULLIVAN on 8/27/13 to Bismarck (IGTOZC)

Carol,

Please provide me with more specific information about the purpose of trip. What is the focus of the meeting? What does the agenda consist of? What's ACF's role in the meeting? Since the fair is non-refundable, please hold on processing anything with GovTrip until I give the go-ahead. Thanks in advance.

Sincerely,

James Murray || Acting Director || HHS/ACF/ORO || Desk: (202) 401-4881
|| BlackBerry: (202) 253-0217 || Fax: (202) 401-3449 || Email:
james.murray@acf.hhs.gov

From: Rogers, Thomas (ACF) **Sent:** Tuesday, August 20, 2013 05:13 PM **To:** Murray, James (ACF); Delgado, Carol (ACF) **Cc:** Ross, Sharon (ACF); Sullivan, Thomas (ACF) **Subject:** RE: Itinerary for THOMAS FRANCIS SULLIVAN on 8/27/13 to Bismarck (IGTOZC)

James:

Carol is on leave now until Thursday morning. I may be able to assist.

The meeting is being convened to discuss not only the problems faced by the Spirit Lake Nation, but how the federal, state, and county governments and others can work with the newly elected leadership of the Tribe to find and implement solutions to the problems. The role of ACF is as one of the sources of ideas, and a potential partner in addressing the issues.

Sincerely,

Tom Rogers
Executive Officer
ACF Region 8, Denver
999 18th Street
South Terrace, Ste. 499
Denver, CO 80202
303-844-1221

August 21, 2013

From: Sullivan, Thomas (ACF) **Sent:** Wednesday, August 21, 2013 5:42 PM **To:** Rogers, Thomas (ACF); Murray, James (ACF); Delgado, Carol (ACF) **Cc:** Ross, Sharon (ACF) **Subject:** Re: Itinerary for THOMAS FRANCIS SULLIVAN on 8/27/13 to Bismarck (IGTOZC)

James: It is now more than 24 hours since we provided you with the information you requested. Is there anything else you need? Tom

August 22, 2013 (now 48 hrs has elapsed)

From: Murray, James (ACF) **Sent:** Thursday, August 22, 2013 10:11 AM **To:** Sullivan, Thomas (ACF); Delgado, Carol (ACF); Rogers, Thomas (ACF); Ross, Sharon (ACF) **Subject:** RE: Itinerary for THOMAS FRANCIS SULLIVAN on 8/27/13 to Bismarck (IGTOZC)

Tom,

Thanks for your patience. ACF's response to the concerns at the Spirit Lake Nation will have to be generated through a collaborative effort by leaders from multiple ACF offices. Representatives from those offices will have to be included along with you in meetings like the one proposed below, to maximize ACF's response. Your leadership will be critical in the work of the larger ACF group to address the issues. That being said, I have to deny the travel request at this time. We can revisit the topic once ACF has a chance to mobilize the larger leadership group to begin moving things forward. Let me know if you'd like to discuss it further and I can set up a conference call for tomorrow or early next week.

Sincerely,

James Murray || Acting Director || HHS/ACF/ORO || Desk: (202) 401-4881
|| BlackBerry: (202) 253-0217 || Fax: (202) 401-3449 || Email:
james.murray@acf.hhs.gov

On Aug 22, 2013, at 11:22 AM, "Sullivan, Thomas (ACF)"
<thomas.sullivan@acf.hhs.gov> wrote:

James:

I have been working on this well known problem at Spirit Lake for more than a year. Others have been working on it for more than five years. The leadership of ACF have made clear their displeasure at my efforts to address these issues and have done their best to silence me. How can one be silent when children are placed in abusive homes available to be raped on a daily basis?

When will this leadership group be assembled? Who will it be comprised of?

How many more children will be murdered/raped/abused/neglected at Spirit Lake before this group is assembled?

Tom

NOTE: It has been more than 2-1/2 years since these reports started coming out and ACF was involved. In all that time Mr. Murray has failed to assemble, convene or otherwise put together any kind of a game plan to deal with what he calls 'conditions' on Spirit Lake? These are not 'conditions', these are CRIMES. Crimes against children. Child Rape is not a "condition", it is a CRIME.

But Mr. Murray in in no Hurry to address this matter much less take any proactive action on it. He wants to assemble and even BIGGER and more unwieldy octopus of people who will collectively be brought in cold, and everyone starts at square one and the bureaucracy can tangle itself up with various committees and what not for additional years while children are being raped, beaten, starved and murdered on the rez.

Take your time Mr. Murray. It's not like it's anything of any particular urgency.

Mr. Sullivan's response is much more restrained than mine would be.

There you have it. People in government positions to actually do something have failed, and designed to make it so that anyone attempting to get relief for the children, or to have rescue for them, will be tangled up in political dance warfare, their jobs threatened, and the entire system is bent on making sure no

reports actually are acted upon and no one with first hand knowledge of child rape is ever heard from.

Meanwhile, the Federal and State governments waste our money on departments that are clearly for show, and have no intention to aid or assist children who are being criminally neglected, deliberately put in the hands of their predators.

Mr. Sullivan is the only person in ACF that even considers this worthy of time and attention. How much longer will he have his job? Clearly, the problem will not be solved until he is silenced. You see, the problem as the government sees it, is not the children being raped, the millions of dollars being embezzled, rather it is anyone who would dare speak of these crimes. The only problem for the government is that someone is speaking out.

Either these agencies and departments must be abolished, or their current heads at the top Administrative levels must be immediately removed and replaced with those who are competent and who see their mission as protecting the children, not the image of the agency, not the image of those other politically connected who have covered this up for decades.

All this time, including today, yesterday, and years ago, Mr. Murray has had the power to stop the rapes of those children. Yet, he prefers to dine with the elite and ignore the cries for help. Further, he chooses to thwart, hamstring & throw obstacles ever more complex and insurmountable, into the paths of those trying to get help for the children. How does a man like that look into the mirror? How does he look at his own children? How does he take a paycheck?

Apparently, all without conscience or care.

You know where to find me.

~Cat West